## Arthur van de Oudeweetering

# **Improve Your Chess Pattern Recognition**

Key Moves and Motifs in the Middlegame

# **Contents**

Preface by th	r Ian Rogers
Part I: Typical P	iece Positions
Chapter 1 Chapter 2 Chapter 3 Chapter 4 Chapter 5 Chapter 6 Chapter 7 Chapter 8 Chapter 9 Chapter 10 Chapter 11	A Very Powerful Piece: The Octopus       15         A Killer Knight       21         (How to Get past) Nimzo's Strong Centre-Back       27         Dominating from the Edge: A Powerful Knight on a5       33         Sur Place en Prise       39         A Not So Innocent Bystander       45         The Lost Bishop       51         Anand's Murderous Twin Guns       58         The Deceptive Bishop from c8       64         The Beastly Bishop       70         Edible or Forbidden Fruit?       77         Exercises Part I       84
Part II: No Auto	matic Pilot87
Chapter 12 Chapter 13 Chapter 14 Chapter 15 Chapter 16 Chapter 17 Chapter 18 Chapter 19 Chapter 20	Out of the Box, into the Future.       89         Never Mind the Holes       95         Ignoring the Threat       101         Silent Sacrifices.       107         Back inside the Chain       114         Play Actively, Double Your f-Pawn!       120         Towards the Edge       126         Double A Status – Not a Bad Thing?       132         Long Live the Queen       138         Exercises Part II       145
Part III: Typical	Strategic Means: Sacrifices
Chapter 21 Chapter 22 Chapter 23 Chapter 24 Chapter 25	'Passer Pour Mieux Centraliser'149Playing the Impossible155A Dynamic Pawn Sac162Cutting through the Middle: e5-e6!168The Bishop Snatcher174

## Improve Your Chess Pattern Recognition

Chapter 26	King's Rook Grabs Knight	180
Chapter 27	Central Avalanches	186
Chapter 28	Fishing for the Hook	
Chapter 29	The Colossal Knight	
Chapter 30	A Practical Piece Sac	
-	Exercises Part III	
rt IV: Typical	Strategic Means: Typical Little Plans	. 213
Chapter 31	The Nievergelt Manoeuvre	215
Chapter 32	Majestic Manoeuvres	
Chapter 33	Creeping Queens	227
Chapter 34	The Second Option	
Chapter 35	Dances with Knights	
Chapter 36	The No-Nonsense Bishop Move	243
Chapter 37	Offside Pieces	
Chapter 38	A Double-Edged Exchange: \(\hat{Q}x\Oceangle c6\)	256
Chapter 39	The Big Decision	
Chapter 40	The Runner and the Bulldozer	268
•	Exercises Part IV	274
		•••
	yers	
	y	
About the A	uthor	301

## **Foreword by Ian Rogers**

Most players begin to recognize standard combinational themes through puzzle books. But acquiring a knowledge of positional concepts which set up those combinations is harder to do.

Players constantly ask 'The opening is over; what do I do now?' But apart from stop-gap principles like 'Improve your worst placed piece', the task of finding a suitable plan is a mystery to many.

The 1980s volume *Chess Middlegames*, ghosted by Laszlo Hazai, covered multiple themes in 4,000 examples and was the finest reference book of its type. However the book is languageless, so a player needed to be dedicated to begin to understand the connections and differences between, say, the 100+ examples of both strong and weak isolated queen's pawn positions.

Into the breach steps Arthur van de Oudeweetering, who has not only collected hundreds of examples, mostly recent, to illustrate many important middlegame themes, but has also provided new terminology to assist in the recognition of each pattern.

This book is not just a worthy new middlegame treatise but one which is fun to read. As a new chapter begins you think 'Whatever does he mean by "Inside the Chain", or "Fishing for the Hook"?' But enlightenment follows soon.

After reading *Improve Your Chess Pattern Recognition*, a player will no longer miss opportunities to become a Bishop Snatcher or use the Second Option for their rook. You will also become more aware of obstacles preventing you from winning without opposition; if you have a Bulldozer you will look out for your opponent's Runner.

Van de Oudeweetering's coverage of the Killer Knight would probably find favour with Kasparov who, before the age of computers, was rumoured to have developed a sophisticated piece valuation system based in part on how close to the opposing king a knight could be posted.

In fact after I'd absorbed *IYCPR* it was easy to speculate that Caruana's loss to Carlsen at the 2014 Tromsø Chess Olympiad could have been caused by the Italian overvaluing his Octopus. (This is not a phrase I ever thought I would find myself writing.)

Once the reader has started applying the patterns in *IYCPR* in their own games, they will find that the post-opening phase of the game becomes easier and they will more often build up a strong position. Then comes the difficult part – converting that strong position into a win, as happens in most of the examples in *IYCPR*. For that, you need tactics training as well, though fortunately there are dozens of books which cover that territory. *IYCPR*, however, is one of a kind.

Ian Rogers September 2014

## **Chapter 12**

## Out of the Box, into the Future

Sometimes it requires imaginative prophylactic thinking or concrete calculations to arrive at a counter-intuitive decision. Let's clear our heads and learn from some impressive examples.

## **Eliminating the Bishop Pair**

We have had a look at White's elimination of the King's Indian bishop on c8 by transferring a knight to a7 (see Chapter 9 'The Deceptive Bishop from c8'). There are other, less standard occasions which require out-of-the-box thinking. Here is a recent example where an astonishing defensive resource eluded such a strong player as Anish Giri.

## Anish Giri Levon Aronian

Istanbul 2012

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.∅f3 ∅f6 4.∰b3 e6 5.g3 Ձe7 6.Ձg2 0-0 7.0-0 ∅bd7 8.Ձf4 a5 9.ℤc1 h6 10.a4 ∅e4 11.∅fd2 ∅d6 12.Ձxd6 Ձxd6 13.e4 dxe4 14.∅xe4 Ձb4 15.c5 ∅f6



16.₩c4?

Aronian's comments in New In Chess 2012/7 are revealing:

'A mistake. When immediately after the game Anish asked me where he had gone wrong, I pointed out to him that after 16. 2 d6 2 e8 17. 2 xc8 followed by the defence of the d-pawn White would have gained equality. Despite the fact that at the present moment the c8-bishop is not a very attractive piece, after Black plays e5 together with the b4-bishop it will become a powerful force.'

That may sound simple, but I think for most of us it would be incredibly hard to decide on giving up our strong knight for the undeveloped bishop even if we had recognized its powerful hidden force.

16...②xe4 17.ዿxe4 ⊈h8 18.ዿg2



18...e5! 19.d5

19.dxe5 響e7 20.f4 总f5 and White already has big development problems.

# 19...f5 20.dxc6 e4 21.cxb7 Ձxb7 22.c6 Ձa6 23.∰b3

23.c7 ②xc4 24.cxd8營 基axd8 25.基xc4 基d1+ 26.②f1 f4 and White's pieces are tied up, though maybe not as much as Black's pieces in Vachier-Lagrave-Ding Liren earlier the same year:



Eventually Giri could not hold on after the text move, either.

## Eliminating a Defender

Aronian's comments immediately reminded me of this game.

## Vladimir Kramnik Alexey Dreev

Linares 1997

1.公f3 d5 2.d4 公f6 3.c4 c6 4.公c3 e6 5.e3 公bd7 6.豐c2 皇d6 7.皇d3 0-0 8.0-0 豐e7 9.c5 皇c7 10.e4 dxe4 11.公xe4 公d5 12.皇d2 罩d8 13.罩ae1 f6



Here Kramnik starts the same remarkable exchange: his centralized knight for Black's bad bishop. But, given the chance, this bishop would be transferred via d7 and e8 to g6 and become the strongest defender on the board. Impressive prophylactic action by Kramnik!

## 

Also, now that the bishop has gone, the pawn on e6 has been deprived of its natural defender, just as in the French positions from 'The deceptive bishop from c8' where Black had exchanged his bad bishop. Over and above that, White's pair of bishops will become a force when the position opens up.

With hindsight this may all sound very logical, but who would have been so clear-headed as to decide on ②d6xc8 so early? Kramnik slowly improved his pieces, secured his space advantage...

16...豐f7 17.單fe1 罩e8 18.b4 a6 19.a4 罩a8 20.g3 豐d7 21.皇c4 罩eb8 22.罩b1 罩d8 23.罩ee1 ②g6 24.皇d3 ②f8 25.皇f1 ②g6 26.b5

... and went on to win the game.

#### Concrete Calculation

## Ana Ivekovic Mladen Palac

Zagreb 2013

1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 e6 3.②f3 d5 4.②c3 åb4 5.e3 0-0 6.豐c2 c5 7.a3 åxc3+ 8.bxc3 豐c7 9.åb2 ②c6 10.åd3 ②a5 11.②e5 b6 12.cxd5 c4 13.åe2 exd5 14.0-0 ②e4 15.åg4 ②b3 16.罩ad1 f6 17.åxc8 罩axc8 18.②f3 罩fe8 19.åc1 豐d6 20.豐b2 b5 21.②d2 ②exd2 22.åxd2 罩c6 23.罩fe1 f5 24.åc1 豐f6 25.豐c2 罩ce6 26.f3



Things become more understandable when you don't have to consider various future strategic possibilities, but can rely on concrete variations. Yet here too you have to start with the counterintuitive capture of a bad bishop. Perhaps Hertan's method of 'forcing moves' may be of help here.

Although other moves are also possible, the grandmaster now decided on...

### 26...**②xc1 27.**₩xc1

As I have written on other occasions, what counts is not what's taken from the board, but what's left behind (just as a computer, unlike us humans, will never bother about previous moves!). Blacks will win the e3-pawn by force.

## 

So White wins back his pawn, but the passed e-pawn will prove to be too much for her.

Of course, you should try to make sure your calculations are correct. Take a look at Alburt-Geller, Reykjavik 1984, where Alburt presumably overlooked a tactical counterblow when swapping his strong knight for an undeveloped bishop!

## **Bishop Versus Knight**

## Robert Fischer Tigran Petrosian

Buenos Aires 1971

1.e4 c5 2.9 f3 e6 3.d4 cxd4 4.∅xd4 a6 5.ዿd3 ∅c6 6.∅xc6 bxc6 7.0-0 d5 8.c4 5 f6 9.cxd5 cxd5 10.exd5 exd5 11.2c3 &e7 12 **₩a4**+ ₩d7 14.9 xa4 **∳ e6** 15.⊈e3 0-017.<u>⊈</u>xe7 ≅xe7 18.b4 ⊈f8 19.公c5 ዿc8 20.f3 ≡ea7 21.≡e5 ≜d7



This is a very well known example, which illustrates the subject of this chapter excellently. White has created a strong outpost on c5, which is also aiming at the weak pawn on a6. Yet on the next move...

#### 22. ②xd7+

... White exchanges it for the passive bishop! However, Black was intending to play 22... b5. And Fischer was a protagonist of clear strategies: what remains is a strong white bishop against an inactive knight on f6, while the white rooks control the c-file. The black pawns on a6 and d5 are still vulnerable and White will always have the option of creating an outside passed pawn on the queenside. You could also see this as converting one type of advantage into another.

#### 22... Xd7 23. Xd7 Zd6

23...d4 seems to be a better continuation. Not because 'passed pawns must be pushed forward' (yes, Fischer!), but to give the f6-knight a future on d5. For example, 24. \( \begin{align\*} \begin{align\*} \delta \de

#### 24.<sup>□</sup>c7

With White's rook on the seventh rank Black's position looks pretty hopeless.

#### 24...Ød7

Now 24...d4 fails to 25.\(\dot{2}\)c4.

25.≝e2 g6 26.ஓf2 h5 27.f4 h4 28.ஓf3 f5 29.ஓe3 d4+ 30.ஓd2 ⊘b6 31.≝ee7

Definitely the end for Black.

31... △d5 32. ℤf7+ ģe8 33. ℤb7 ⊘xb4? 34. Ձc4 1-0

## Paving the Way

## Fedor Duz Khotimirsky Emanuel Lasker

St Petersburg 1909

1.d4 d5 2.分f3 分f6 3.c4 e6 4.公c3 鱼e7 5.鱼f4 0-0 6.e3 分bd7 7.鱼d3 c6 8.豐c2 dxc4 9.鱼xc4 豐a5 10.0-0 公d5 11.鱼g3 公xc3 12.bxc3 公f6 13.鱼d3 h6 14.公e5 豐d8 15.f4 公d5 16.單f3 c5 17.e4 公f6 18.鱼f2 cxd4 19.cxd4 鱼d7



When annotating the previous game in *My Great Predecessors Part IV*, Kasparov speaks admiringly of Fischer's play in

that game and adds: 'It should be borne in mind that at that time such exchanges of a powerful knight for a passive bishop were most unusual'. However that may be, here is yet another example from a much earlier period.

#### 20.5 xd7

Again, this is certainly not the only move, but it does mobilize the pawn centre and also the pair of bishops behind it.

## 20... wxd7 21.h3 ac8 22. e2 c723.f5!

Now Black has to do something against the threat of the central advance e4-e5.

23...5 h7 24.e5

Anyway!

#### 24...exf5

24... ②g5 runs into 25.f6 ②xf3+26. ₩xf3 and Black is without defence.



Now it will only be a matter of time before the white d-pawn moves forward.

28... ac1 29. ach2 2g5 30. acfd3 ach4 2c1 31. ach2 ach4 2c6 33.d5

Here it is.

33...∕∆f4 34.∰e4 ∰g4 35.g3!

White is not afraid of ghosts.

#### 35...**\(\hat{2}\)**xh4

35... ₩h3+ 36. ♣g1 ②h5 and now for instance 37. Zd3 also looks hopeless.

## No Prejudice

Here is a recent example, where an objective judgement is combined with concrete calculation.

## Peter Michalik Kamil Banas

Slovakia 2012/13

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.公f3 c6 4.e3 f5 5.b3 兔b4+ 6.公bd2 公f6 7.兔d3 公bd7 8.0-0 0-0 9.兔b2 公e4 10.a3 兔d6 11.b4 公df6 12.cxd5 cxd5 13.公b3 營e7 14.公c5 b6 15.公a4 營b7 16.公e5 a5 17.f3 公g5 18.b5 兔d7 19.營e2 罩ac8 20.罩ac1罩xc1 21.罩xc1 罩c8



### 22.公xd7!

The unassailable Stonewall knight on e5 is exchanged for the bad Stonewall bishop. But as usual, what remains on the board is all that matters.

#### 22...@xd7

22... 基xc1+ 23. 全xc1 營xd7 seems a better try, as the game continuation utterly fails: 24.h4 公f7 25.e4 fxe4 26.fxe4 dxe4 27. 全xe4 全xa3.

The immediate 22... 響xd7 yields White a dangerous passed pawn after 23. 基xc8+ 響xc8 24. 公xb6 響b8 25. 公a4. 总xb2+26. 含f1.

### 23. 其xc8+ 對xc8 24.h4 分f7



#### 25.e4

The position will be opened up for White's bishops, and the black central pawns are vulnerable now that the light-squared bishop has disappeared. May I once more remind you of Suba's dictum: 'A bad bishop defends good pawns'?

# 25...fxe4 26.fxe4 **\*\***c7 27.exd5 exd5 28.4\( \) c3?

#### 28...∮\f6 29.₩f3 ₩d8

Returning the favour; the immediate 29... e7 would have been stronger.

## 30.⊈f1 ≝e7 31.⊘xd5 ⊘xd5 32.≝xd5 Ձg3

32... ②xa3 33. ②xa3 ※xa3 is impossible due to 34. ※a8+ ※f8 35. ②xh7+; while after 32... ※xh4 33. ※a8+ ②f8 34. ※f3 White is also better. After the text move White went on to win with the help of his passed d-pawn and pair of bishops.

#### **Back to Basics**

## Jan Timman Simen Agdestein

Taxco 1985

1.e4 e6 2.d4 d5 3.\(\tilde{Q}\)c3 \(\delta\)b4 4.e5 c5 5.\(\delta\)d2 \(\tilde{Q}\)e7 6.\(\delta\)b5 \(\delta\)xd2+

7.≝xd2 0-0 8.c3 ⊘bc6 9.f4 a6 10.⊘d6 cxd4 11.cxd4 f6 12.⊘f3 ⊘g6



Mind you, on many occasions the right decision will be 'inside the box': that is, the bad bishop should be left alone and the strong knight retained. This game is from the Interzonal in Taxco 1985, which Timman won very convincingly with 12 out of 15. This and the earlier examples might lead you to believe that his next move was a fine decision.

#### 13.9 xc8

True, there is nothing wrong with this move; strictly speaking, it is forced. The desirable option, leaving the octopus where it is and protecting f4 with 13.g3, fails to the tactical trick 13...fxe5 14.dxe5 ∅gxe5.

13...fxe5 14.dxe5

14.fxe5 ≝xc8 15.Ձd3 ②h4 is good for Black.

14... Ixc8 15.g3 學b6 16. 全h3



Attacking the pawn, which is no longer defended by the light-squared bishop, but here the white king is, not uncharacteristically for this kind of French position, very unsafe, rendering White's intentions harmless.

#### 16...\$h8! 17.a3

Now 17.\(\hat{2}\)xe6 can be met with 17...\(\Delta\)cxe5.

#### 17...\$\a5?

Black could have justified his earlier 12...公g6 move with 17...公cxe5 18.公xe5 公xe5 19.fxe5 罩c4, for example: 20.皇g2 罩f5 21.罩c1 罩xe5+22.含f1罩f5+23.含e1 豐f2+!.

#### 18.₩d4

Now White is OK and he went on to win.

## Summary

After these examples I hope you will keep a more open mind for less obvious exchanges.

Don't generalize! When calculating exchanges, remember to also look what remains at the board.