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## KEY TO SYMBOLS

$=$ Equality or equal chances
$\pm \quad$ White has a slight advantage
$\bar{\mp} \quad$ Black has a slight advantage
$\pm \quad$ White is better
$\mp \quad$ Black is better
+- White has a decisive advantage
-+ Black has a decisive advantage
$\infty$ unclear
$\overline{\bar{\infty}} \quad$ with compensation
$\leftrightarrows \quad$ with counterplay
$\uparrow \quad$ with initiative
$\rightarrow \quad$ with an attack
$\Delta \quad$ with the idea
$\square \quad$ only move

N novelty
! a good move
!! an excellent move
? a weak move
?? a blunder
!? an interesing move
?! a dubious move
$+\quad$ check
\# mate

## EDITORIAL PREFACE

With the 6th issue of the Chess Evolution "January 2012" we are fulfilling the Year 2011. It has been a very long year for the contributors - a lot of work, but I hope we made a good job, at least we all tried.

I think the Chess Evolution issues improves issue per issue, but we have always tried to keep up the initial idea of Etienne Bacrot and me, which is to make Chess Evolution readers be able to follow the newest opening developments and be informed about the current chess theory at the highest level.

## What is new

First of all, we would like to welcome David Baramidze. He has done a very good job and will do more games for the next issues of ce. In case if You are his fan, a warm applause! :)

The cooperation with Quality Chess has ended in a friendly "goodbye-maybe we will see us again". Thanks to QC for being very professional and helping us with two great books: "ce September" and "Ce November".

The place of QC has been taken by Marie Boyard, with whom I am hoping to establish a long-term cooperation. But You are the ones to judge her work, dear readers:)

## FEW WORDS AbOUT THE CONTENT OF CE JANUARY 2012

As usual, we are trying to give a many interesting ideas and answers on the new openings and novelties.

We have the usual "puzzle section" made only from the practical games of the etcc.

For the first time, the book includes two different endgame sections. One is made by our "ce master of the endgames" Etienne Bacrot and the 2nd section is the "crazy" one, made by Mikhail Zaitsev.

## I WONDER...

We are offering "free interactive services" for our subscribers, which basically means that You send us Your questions concerning the content of the book. This service has been used till now very rarely. I am wondering why? It is not like my Team and I do not have enough work, but I personally think it is a great service, and in case I would be a CE subscriber, I would definitely make use of it.

## FUTURE PLANS

A few words about Chess Evolution for the Year 2012: In the current year 2012 we are changing from 6 books a year to 3 books a year. It has been a hard decision to take, with many pros and cons. Finally, the 3 books won against the 6 books/year with $51 \%-49 \%$.

That means, in 2012 will be coming :

- Ce May (games January 1st-April 3oth)
- CE September (games 1st May-August 31st)
- CE January 2013 (games 1st September till December 31st)

We are starting a new periodical project "Chess Evolution Weekly Newsletter", that will be first published on the first Friday of March 2012. The newsletter will include: 4 commented games, one commented game from some World Champion, a puzzles section, aan endgame section, a few articles on what has happened in the past week and a small surprise section, which will change every week. The newsletter is intended for club level players and will be available in 6 different languages: English, German, Spanish, French, Italian, Hungarian.

Prize for the Yearly subscription will be 38 Euro/year.
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|  | R. Wojtaszek - M. Adams | $[A 13]$ | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | V. Kramnik - I. Nepomniachtchi | $[A 37]$ | 18 |
|  | N. Vitiugov - F. Caruana | $[A 45]$ | 29 |

## 为

$\triangleright$ R．Wojtaszek（2705）
－M．Adams（2734）
18th E｀uropean Teams
Porto Carras，Greece
Round 7，09．11．2011［A13］
Annotated by Miton Kamil
The Catalan systems have been very popular since always，but recently it has become fashionable to wait with the $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ push，which is very interest－ ing and has a deep meaning in vari－ ous redactions．In this game，Adams chose 8 ．．．a6 which probably does not give full equality to Black（maybe the best option for Black is 9．．．留c7！？）． Wojtaszek kept a static advantage throughout the entire game，but in the endgame he missed his chance to score the whole point twice．

宽b78．0－0 a6

8．．．${ }^{2} \mathrm{bd} 7$ is the main line．
9． 2 e5


## 9．．．宽 $\mathbf{e n}_{7}$

The main task of Black is to push C5 and to get rid of the potential weak－ ness on c6．

9．．．留 d d6 Now after the sacrifice of the pawn White will obtain a very promising initiative．10．d4 The only




 17．营ac1




12．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cl} 3$
 14．宦xd6 c5？Black should manage to castle and to equalize the position．




16． $\begin{aligned} & \text { uric } \\ & \text { c } 2 \text { White has a very strong ini－}\end{aligned}$ tiative，but engines somehow manage to hold this position．16．．．宽d5 17．品xd5





苞xb6 21．宽 $\mathrm{b} 7 \pm$

The option of not losing time on the development of the bishop on e7 and
realizing instead the main plan de－ serves consideration：



12．賑 e
12．a4 cxd4 13．axb5 客d6 $14 .{ }^{\text {気 }} \mathrm{f}_{3}$














 most accurate 14 ．．．c4 does not satisfy because of 15．．ूxc4（14．dxc5 At this point the idea of attacking the bishop on C 5 and the pawn on g 7 does not







Black has to solve the problem with his king．17．．．b4？！Weakens the struc－





10．笪d1
$10 . a 4$

## 10．．．${ }_{\text {um }}^{u^{3}} d_{4}$

The engine does not like this move， but in other case White will play $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ and keep a small plus．

10．．．wicy In comparison with the line $9 \ldots$ ．．．筧c 7 it seems that this is a worse version for Black，because the bishop will lose a tempo recapturing the pawn on $\mathbf{c 5}$ ，and the white rook is already on d 1 ．



 fxe6＝）

12．．．綧xb7 13．dxc5（13．恖 $\mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{cxd}_{4}$



13．．．客xc5 14．菣e3（14．a4！？$\pm$ A the－ matic move with the idea to gain control of the c4 square） $14 \ldots$ 息xe3 15．㞶xe3

In this kind of positions，White is usually slightly better，because black structure on the queenside is slightly weak． $15 . . .{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}$ c6



管xc6 20．营ac1 $\pm$ the black pawns are too advanced and it is easy to







10．．．o－o 11．d4 算c8 12．思e3 C5




 12．dxe5 In order to play the structure after dxe5，White has to be sure that he can manage to create threats on time（for example by transferring the knight to d6）．In other case，Black can


 （13．．．筧 b b the queen is badly placed． 14．恩e3 C5 15．d5 $\pm$ ）14．宽f4
a） $14 . \mathrm{a}_{4}$ ？！ $\mathrm{C} 515 . \mathrm{d}_{5}$（ $15 . \mathrm{axb}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{xg}_{2}$



 b） 14 ．思 $\mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{C} 515 . \mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{C} 416$ ．欮 C C2 $2 \mathrm{f} 617 . \mathrm{d} 6$态 $\mathrm{d} 8 \infty$ ；14．．．c5 15．d5 c4 16．答C2 17．dxe6 fxe6 18．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{e}$ e4 a better structure，White should have an advantage．

## 

12．b4！？

## 12．．．0－0

 $15 . \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~d}_{3}$ Very often in similar struc－ tures，especially in the endgame，one of the best places for the knight is the d3 square．15．．．${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{7}$ 16．e4（16．${ }^{2} \mathrm{xc} 5$



 exd5 22．fxe4 dxe4 $23 .{ }^{2} \mathrm{end}_{2} \pm$


13．思e3？！Wojtaszek does not like too much this move，but White still keeps pressure and prevents Black from playing c5．

13．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶未思5！Gajewski，the second of Wo－ jtaszek，suggested that this move gives White an advantage and some of the variations prove that he is com－ pletely right． 13 ．．．営d8




c） $13 . .$. g $\mathrm{c} 814 . \mathrm{a} 4$







 the c 5 and d 6 squares are weak．）

 17．鼻xf6 gxf6 18．d5 $\pm$ ） $15 . \mathrm{axb} 5$ 息xg2


14．${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \mathrm{~h} 6$


 a5 $20 . \mathrm{e}_{4}$ a $421 . \mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{4}$ e5 22. exd 5 exd 4


Of course，after exchanging the queens， White will have compensation，since he controls the dark squares（c5）．

However，the exchange on f 6 is not










 16．${ }^{2}$ d ${ }^{2}$
Wojtaszek felt that Black should have somewhere the possibility to equalize the position．

16．．．a5
Black agrees that pushing C 5 is not that easy，so finally he decides to acti－
vate the bishop through a6．The prob－ lem of this plan is that any advance of the pawns weakens the structure of Black．We will be witnesses of this fact in the endgame．






16．．．罗ac8 $17 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{l}$ e 4













 cording to Wojtaszek，he saw this
line during the game，but he did not expect that Black would have any serious problems in this position． However，engines like the position of White．

## 25．．．c5 26．答 b 7


26．．．筫xb7
 An interesting position，which should be better for White since the c4－pawn is not safe．Black can try to achieve some counterplay by playing es or the simple fd．

## 27．寊xb7 岂 b 8

27．．．苞C7 28．恖a6（28．息f3 c4）28．．．
 31．${ }^{2}$ en ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{5}$

## 

Probably Adams decided to play this structure，because he thought that he should not experience any seri－ ous problems with the d 5 square and the isolated pawn on d4．However，he did not sense that soon the pawns on the queenside will fall under a strong pressure．

## 30．筸f1

 thought that he can minimum win the pawn back．32．舄e2 荀xd433．${ }^{\text {enc5 }}$
 advantage thanks to the better pieces and the weakness on a 4 ．






 42．${ }^{(\underbrace{}_{日})} \mathrm{d}_{4}$
 $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{fd} 5$
Does not help 42．．．${ }^{\text {D }}$ e8 with the idea to transfer the knight to c4 and try to exchange the pawn． 43 ．



 50．客xd5 $\pm$

43．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{f} 5}$


 White king will come to c 5 soon．


44．䰟d1
44． xd 5 ！This is the first moment where Wojtaszek has missed good chances to obtain a probably win－



 This position is supposed to be win－ ning for White？


## 

Advancing the pawns should help Black to create some counterplay on the kingside after White has won the a4 pawn．
器 4 49．

49．䁛c6！Another mistake by Wo－ jtaszek，who thought that the end－ game should be wining and Black could defend this position only by a few tricks． 49 ．．．h5

49．．．f4 50．gxf4 gxf4 51．h4土



 59．想 $\mathrm{f}_{3}+{ }^{\text {ang }} \mathrm{C7}$ Now white has to place the pawn on $f_{3}$ ，as in other case it is not possible to escape the king on






The knight on e3 is placed very well for two reasons．If White tries to pro－ mote the pawn（by 勛b6－a6），Black has always a check on c4．Besides，if White attempts to win the $f_{4}$ pawn， Black can protect it by placing the knight on $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ ．The position is draw－
 52．鬼e8 h4 53．gxh4 gxh 44 ．⿷g f $\mathrm{g}_{4}$ 55．鯂b3 $\mathrm{d}_{2}+56$ ．．



 61．䅋e4＋－

And Wojtaszek missed that his king
 $53 . \mathrm{a}_{4} \mathrm{f}_{3}$ Fixing the weakness on $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ．

54．爱d3

家 $\mathrm{C} 4=$
超xa45．

Black can exchange the material on time．


 63．息 $\mathrm{xf}_{3}+1 / 2-1 / 2$

## （

$\triangleright$ V．Kramnik（28oo）
－I．Nepomniachtchi（2730）
6th Tal Memorial
Moscow，Russia
Round 1，16．11．2011［A37］
Annotated by Borki Predojevic
The following game leads us into the English Opening，where Black played the setup with es and ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ge7}$ ．We will use some notes from the book Eng－ lish Opening Vol． 3 and also see some new games with this setup．After $6 .$. d6 Black chose a particularly concrete line which comes after 8．b4 e4！？．Here White opted for $9 .{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{el}$（ 9 g is al－ ternative）．Black answered with 9．．．． 5

